UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,949	01/17/2006	Diego Anza Hormigo	P1942US	4094	
	7590 09/12/201 DDLE & REATH LLP	1	EXAMINER		
ATTN: PATEN	T DOCKET DEPT.	HUYNH, NAM TRUNG			
CHICAGO, IL	ER DRIVE, SUITE 37(60606)()	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/12/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DBRIPDocket@dbr.com IPDockets@dbr.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/564,949	ANZA HORMIG	ANZA HORMIGO ET AL.		
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	NAM HUYNH	2617			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	ΓO personnel):				
(1) <u>NAM HUYNH</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>MARK BERGNER</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 11 August 2011.					
Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: Applicant]	applicant's repre	sentative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.				
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 C (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and d		ssion)			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)					
Discussed 112 issues with Applicant. An agreement was made that the claim language was indefinte. Worked with Applicant to draft potential amendments to overcome indefiniteness. Did not receive response from Applicant after acceptable claim language was agreed upon.					
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.					
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the state the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 3 general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as	713.04 for complete and pro on of any other pertinent mat	per recordation including the ider ters discussed regarding patenta	ntification of the billity and the		
☐ Attachment					

Application No.

Applicant(s)